

January 18, 2013

2013 Preliminary Operating Budget Fails Tax Fairness Test

he Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives has been analysing the City's operating budget for several years now. We fully understand the challenges council faces: lack of revenues; a series of federal governments that steadfastly refuse to help eliminate the infrastructure deficit; a rapidly changing population with different needs; and since 2008, a sluggish global economy. These circumstances do not make for an easy job at budget time.

By now Winnipeggers understand that the property tax freeze could not last forever. During the period from 1999 to 2011, the tax rate actually decreased by 6%, whereas in other prairie cities, it increased by an average of 46.75%. If anything has given other cities a competitive advantage over Winnipeg, it's been that they have been shoring up their revenues with more responsible tax increases.

Last year's and this year's property tax increases begin to reverse the damage done from years of tax mismanagement. Most Winnipeggers understand that in order to live in the sort of city we all want, we all have to pull our weight. Council continues, however, to allow one component of our community to avoid pulling its weight: the business community.

The last two budgets seem to expect property owners to contribute more than businesses. We see property taxes increase while the business tax is either frozen or eliminated for 40% of businesses. So business gets a break despite the fact

that in order to function, it needs the infrastructure that the city provides.

The argument in support of this favouritism is that businesses provide jobs. True, but private citizens provide the labour that allows businesses to exist, and we also purchase the goods and services that companies offer and thereby provide them with their revenue. All components, business, customers and labour, are essential components in our economy. One cannot live without the other.

The other arguments against the business tax are that businesses pay property taxes already (contributing approximately 30% to total property taxes), making the business tax "extra" and that other Canadian cities do not levy a business tax, making Winnipeg less competitive.

Edmonton eliminated the business tax but increased its commercial property tax to make up for the loss. Calgary still levies a business tax and a commercial property tax, although there's been talk that they will follow Edmonton's lead. The point is not how one labels the taxes, it's the total amount paid. Looked at this way, Winnipeg businesses do not pay more total tax than businesses in other cities.

How much revenue does the City lose from not increasing the business tax?

The 3.87% increase in the mill rate caused revenues from property taxes to increase 5.07%. If we increased the revenue from the business tax an equivalent 5.07%, the City would realize a \$2.95 million increase in

CCPA-MB **309-323 Portage Ave.** Winnipeg, MB R3B 2C1

(204) 927-3200 ccpamb@policyalternatives.ca www.policyalternatives.ca/ manitoba www.policyfix.ca @ccpamb

continued.

revenues.

We could give business a break by raising the revenues from the business tax by just half the rate we see the property tax revenues go up (2.53 %). Doing so would add \$1.47 million to revenues, and would put business tax revenues close to \$60 million - where they were before the business tax rate was decreased in 2004. Business would still be ahead of property owners who are facing two higher tax increases in two years.

But many businesses get another break; we will have to add the loss from the Small Business Tax Credit, which takes \$3.9 million from revenues.

So by not increasing business tax revenues, even at half the rate of the property tax revenue increase, the City has effectively cut \$1.47 million from the budget. When that is added to the loss from the Small Business Tax Credit, a total \$5.37 million is missing from revenues.

head into an election year is troubling, especially in light of all the organizations that had their grants cut. They may not have the profile of a NHL team, but they all improve the quality of life in Winnipeg and some are dedicated to ameliorating poverty and its terrible effects such as lack of food and shelter. By just making businesses pay their fair share, the City could ensure that these community organizations, many of whom do some very heavy lifting, could maintain their staff and services.

We worry about being competitive, but studies have shown that tax rates are not the driving factor behind businesses' decisions about where to locate. KPMG claims that businesses are much more interested in an educated workforce, adequate infrastructure, affordable utilities, access to markets and creating cities that people want to live in. Which brings us full circle; education, culture, housing, recreation and infrastructure are all paid for from tax revenues.

Total business tax revenue loss

Total 2012 business	Total 2013 business	Loss of revenue by not	Loss of revenue from	Total business
tax revenue	tax revenue with 2.53% increase	increasing business tax	small business tax credit (approximately 4900 businesses will save around \$800)	tax revenue loss
\$57.6 million	\$59.7 million	\$1.47 million	\$3.9 million	\$5.37 million

Revenue Loss from Tax Refunds

True North business tax refund	Winnipeg Goldeyes property tax refund	Total revenue loss
\$258,300	\$41,000	\$299,300

Not only does business get a decrease in taxes relative to property owners, but other businesses like the Goldeyes and True North get their taxes refunded. *See above.*

When we add the loss in revenue from: not increasing the business tax rate; the Small Business Tax Credit; and the tax refunds given to high-profile Winnipeg businesses, we have realized a revenue loss of \$5,669,300.

This budget's optics are not great. The hefty increase in councillors' budgets just as we

No one likes paying taxes, but everyone likes what taxes buy. Businesses could not operate without the infrastructure and educated, healthy workers that keep them up and running. We believe that businesses want to pay their fair share; surely they understand that when they do, they are investing in a more prosperous city for us all.

Lynne Fernandez is the Errol Black Chair in Labour Issues at the CCPA Mb.

CCPA-MB 309-323 Portage Ave. Winnipeg, MB R3B 2C1

phone (204) 927-3200

ccpamb@policyalternatives.ca

website

www.policyalternatives.ca/manitoba

blog www.policyfix.ca

twitter @ccpamb Fast Facts are produced and distributed free via email. They can be reproduced as an OpEd or opinion piece without obtaining further permission, provided they are not edited, and full credit is given to both the author and the source, CCPA-MB. Please contact CCPA-MB today to begin your free subscription.